

CRM-M No. 35775 of 2016

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 35775 of 2016

Date of decision : November 08, 2016

Manjeet alias Romeo

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.680, dated 27.12.2014, registered under Sections 396, 341 of the IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Narnaund, District Hisar.

As per allegations, nephew of the complainant was going in a Ritz car towards Barwala and the complainant was following him in a Scorpio. 5-6 persons attacked the nephew of the complainant, shot him

and took him away in the car, and eventually he died.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that four persons were named in the FIR and the petitioner was not named and none of those four persons has been arrested, and the petitioner has now been in custody in this case since 20.8.2015.

Counsel for the respondent has placed on record custody certificate, as per which the above fact has been corroborated. He has argued that actually the petitioner is in custody in FIR No.15, dated 16.1.2015 registered at Police Station Saffidon. He has further argued that in some other case, some Naveen was arrested who disclosed that the petitioner was also involved in the killing of Abhishek.

Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the decision of this Court in Tejinder Singh @ Teja vs State of Punjab and others (CRM-M No.21934 of 2015), decided on 17.03.2016 to contend that as long as the petitioner is under trial, his custody should be treated in all the cases concurrently. As per him, in any case, the allegation of having killed Abhishek is against Balinder and no role has been attributed to the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above facts and without commenting upon the merits of the case, as well as period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of

CRM-M No. 35775 of 2016

::3::

regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Petition stands disposed of.

November 08, 2016

`kk'

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No