

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-34841 of 2015
Date of decision: March 30, 2016.

Sarabjit Singh @ Sukha and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. K.S. Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Paul, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Sandhu, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.92 dated 14.8.2015, registered under Sections 324, 120-B IPC (later on added Section 326 IPC), at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainants/respondent No.2 & 3 Bhola Singh and Heera Lal as well as the accused and has reported that the parties have effected compromise, which is genuine and voluntary. Photocopies of the statements of the parties have also been appended.

In this case, as per the stand of the State, the case is still under investigation and challan has not been filed so far.

As the parties have amicably settled the dispute, therefore, FIR No.92 dated 14.8.2015, registered under Sections 324, 120-B IPC (later on added Section 326 IPC), at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

March 30, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge