

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35718-2016

Date of decision-05.10.2016

Shiv Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. N.S.Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.231 dated 08.09.2014, registered under Sections 148, 302 and 120-B read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that no specific injuries have been attributed to the petitioners.

Heard.

The allegations in brief against the petitioners are that on 08.09.2014, at about 01.00 p.m, they along with co-accused had given beatings to nephew of complainant, namely, Pardeep, who was a student of Class X, when he was returning home from school. The petitioners had caused injuries to Pardeep on his head, mouth, eyes and forehead and also twisted his neck which resulted in his death.

A bare perusal of the FIR also reveals that petitioners are named in the FIR. A young boy of 17 years of age has been done to death. Keeping in view the seriousness of offence, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made out.

Consequently, bail application stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

October 05, 2016
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No