

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35651-2016
Date of decision-26.10.2016**

Vijay Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Om Pal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.85 dated 27.07.2016 registered under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case by the complainant. He further submits that husband of the complainant committed suicide on 23.03.2016 whereas FIR was registered on 27.07.2016 and the said suicide note is forged and manipulated one with some ulterior motive against the petitioner.

Heard.

The present petition has been filed by complainant, namely Aman Preet Kaur, who is wife of the deceased. She states that her husband was doing repairing work of the cold store owned by petitioner. Petitioner had not given any money for labour done by her husband and her husband was threatened many a times by the petitioner for the loss suffered by him.

The petitioner blamed the deceased for the occurrence of loss, due to which he committed suicide. From perusal of the FIR it reveals that the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and the name of the petitioner is also mentioned in the suicide note of husband of the complainant. There are specific allegations against the petitioner under Section 306 of IPC. The contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner cannot be appreciated at this stage. Releasing the petitioner at this stage may hamper smooth investigation.

Keeping in view the seriousness of allegations, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Consequently, bail application stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

October 26, 2016

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No