

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-35621 of 2016

Date of decision:- December 01, 2016

RANJIT SINGH @ RINKU

.....PETITIONER..

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT..

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (Oral)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short "Cr.P.C."), Ranjit Singh @ Rinku- petitioner has sought pre-arrest bail, feeling apprehension of his arrest, pertaining to FIR No.174, dated 07.09.2016, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 04.10.2016, following order was passed by this Court:-

"Inter-alia, it has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is not a beneficiary under the sale deed which is alleged to have been executed by Rajinder Singh in favour of Baljeet Singh. Moreover, the allegation levelled by the complainant (brother of Rajinder Singh) is that Rajinder Singh is a simpleton person and that the petitioner in connivance with the proposed vandeer has got the sale deed executed. Learned counsel further contends that prior to the registration of the FIR, the

matter was enquired into twice by the senior officer(s) of the police, but both the times, Rajinder Singh appeared before the Inquiry Officer and has made a categorical statement that he has executed the sale deed before the Registrar. Not only this, even the photographs of the petitioner as well as other persons i.e. vendor and vandeer also appear on the sale deed.

Notice of motion for 01.12.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from the date of certified copy of this order. In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim bail at the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Jiwan Singh has submitted that the petitioner has already joined the investigation on 10.10.2016, in compliance of order dated 04.10.2016. He further submits that during the investigation of this case, the petitioner has been found innocent. Moreover, cancellation report is also likely to be presented shortly.
4. In the given circumstances, the instant petition has rendered infructuous. However, petitioner is at liberty to approach this Court again, in case, any adverse order is passed by the Court or any adverse proceedings are initiated against him.
5. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

December 01, 2016

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**