

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35601-2016

Date of decision: 04.10.2016

Surinder Kumar Sethi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Precise grievance of the petitioners is that on a complaint (Annexure P-1) made by Smt. Dipti Verma (daughter-in-law of petitioner Nos.1 and 2), they have been called by the Women Cell, Sector 17, Chandigarh for enquiry.

Vide order dated 30.08.2016 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Judge Special Court, Chandigarh, a direction was given to the Women Police Station, Sector 17, Chandigarh that a four days prior notice be given to Vishal (husband of the complainant) in case the police intends to arrest him.

At this stage, this petition is disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to give **seven days** prior notice to the petitioners in case they are wanted in any investigation pursuant to the complaint (Annexure P-1).

04.10.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No