

277

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35468 of 2016

Date of decision: December 13, 2016

Jagsir Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.34 dated 18.04.2012, under Sections 336, 323, 341, 427, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City-I Malerkotla, District Sangrur, petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 jointly submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court vide order dated 04.10.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Malerkotla stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is genuine, voluntarily, without any coercion or undue

influence.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.34 dated 18.04.2012, under Sections 336, 323, 341, 427, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station City-I Malerkotla, District Sangrur alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise qua petitioners only.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 13, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No