

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-35465 of 2016

(1)

Anita alias Vina

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(2)

CRM No.M-35603 of 2016

Date of decision: October 7, 2016

Parashu Ram and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM No.M-35465 of 2016)

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM No.M-35603 of 2016)

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Both the petitions are taken up together being arisen from the same FIR.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.893 dated 31.08.2016 registered at Police Station City, Karnal, under Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

Notice of motion has been issued in both these petitions.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions. Police record is also available.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

The allegations against the petitioners are that they were found indulged in prostitution activities and one lady and one person were found there at the time of raid. The petitioners are in custody since 31.08.2016. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, these criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

October 7, 2016

rajesh.k.khurana

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No