

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-34558 of 2015
Date of decision: 31.03.2016**

Naveen

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

Present: Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent – State.

Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate
for the complainant.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Both the parties are present in the Court and submit that they are residing together and are happy in their matrimonial life.

Accordingly, interim order dated 17.11.2015 passed by this Court made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

31.03.2016
neetu

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**