

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35350 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 03.10.2016

Jyoti and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Palak Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Petitioners in person.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that age of petitioner Nos.1 and 2, is about 17 years & 9 months and 20 years & 1 month, respectively. They have solemnized marriage on 20.09.2016. After the marriage they have been residing together as husband and wife and consummated during the subsistence of the marriage. He cites '**Makemalla Sailoo Vs. Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda Distt. & Ors.**', 2006(2) HLR 430 (Andhra Pradesh) (Division Bench), to contend that child marriage is not an invalid, illegal or null and void marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent Nos.3 to 6.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.1-Superintendent of Police, Rewari, to consider and decide the representation dated 21.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and provide necessary protection to them in accordance with law, in case he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent Nos.3 to 6.

Disposed of.

03.10.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No