

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34456-2015

Date of Decision: January 25, 2016

Shiwani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.G.S.Guri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Bhavnik Mehta, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 to 6.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC
Rajeev, Police Station, Ambala City, submits that petitioner No.1
has suffered her statement that she has no threat to her life from
the private respondents.

Mr.Bhavnik Mehta, Advocate, representing the private

respondents also submits that during pendency of the present petition, the private respondents have reconciled their dispute and have no objection with the marriage performed by the petitioners.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press this petition, at this stage.

So ordered.

January 25, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE