

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Revision 1151 of 2008
Date of Decision : October 18, 2016

Vijay Kumar and another	VERSUS	Petitioners
State of Haryana		Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners were tried for committing offences punishable under Sections 468/471/420/120-B IPC. Vide judgment and order dated 12/13.12.2000, learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rewari, convicted them for the aforementioned offences and sentenced them to undergo imprisonment and pay fine as follows:-

- (i) Rigorous imprisonment for a period of two years and to pay a fine of Rs.2,000/- each for the offence under Section 468 IPC and in default of payment of fine, to undergo further rigorous imprisonment for a period of four months;
- (ii) Rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/- each for the offence under Section 471 IPC and in default of payment of fine, to undergo further rigorous imprisonment for a period of two months;

- (iii) Rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/- each for the offence under Section 420 IPC and in default of payment of fine, to undergo further rigorous imprisonment for a period of two months; and
- (iv) Rigorous imprisonment for a period of six months and to pay a fine of Rs.500/- each for the offence under Section 120-B IPC and in default of payment of fine, to undergo further rigorous imprisonment for a period of one month.

All the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

The fine imposed was paid by them there and then.

Aggrieved by their conviction and sentence, the petitioners preferred an appeal. Vide judgment dated 20.3.2008, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari, found no merit in the appeal and, accordingly, dismissed the same. Hence, the present revision, which came to be admitted on 30.7.2008. Subsequently, vide order dated 22.10.2008, a co-ordinate bench of this Court suspended their sentences of imprisonment.

Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificates, from which, it is indicated that both the petitioners have already been released from jail upon completion of their sentences of imprisonment on 19.11.2009 and 20.11.2009, respectively.

None has put in appearance for the petitioners. It

appears that as the petitioners have already served the entire sentences of imprisonment imposed upon them, they are not interested in prosecuting the revision any further.

The revision is, accordingly, disposed of.

October 18, 2016
amit rana

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No