

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35300-2016

Date of decision: 04.10.2016

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused.

By filing this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short 'the Code'), Amarjit Singh seeks issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to comply with the provisions of Section 160 and Section 41 of the Code and to comply with the law laid down by **Hon'ble the Apex Court in Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar, 2014 (3) RCR 527.**

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner apprehends that the police may involve him in some false case. In connection with a complaint moved by the purchasers of shares from respondent No.4, the petitioner is being called in the police station time and again. Therefore, it is submitted that as and when presence of the petitioner is required, he be given notice in terms of Section 160 and Section 41 of the Code.

Heard.

Admittedly, the petitioner is being called in the police station in connection with a complaint moved by the purchasers of share from respondent No.4. At this stage, no interference can be made by this Court as if the presence of the petitioner is required as witness, the police is competent to call him in the police station. Otherwise, if some incriminating evidence appears against him, he shall be dealt with in accordance with law.

No interference by this Court is warranted, at this stage.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

October 04, 2016
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No