

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35290-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.10.2016

Gurkirpal Singh @ Pappu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Didar Singh.

Mr. APS Rehan, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.05 dated 19.01.2016, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak.

Heard.

On 04.10.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is ready and willing to pay the entire amount of Rs.2,10,000/- within two weeks from today.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, at the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Mr. APS Rehan, Advocate causes representation on behalf of the complainant and contends that total amount paid to the petitioner and co-accused, namely, Jasbir @ Babli is Rs.5,50,000/-.

The petitioner is directed to make the payment of Rs.2,10,000/- to the complainant within two weeks from today.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Post again on 26.10.2016.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that on pursuance of order dated 04.10.2016, the petitioner has deposited his share of the amount allegedly received from the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 04.10.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, the payment aforesaid shall be subject to the final outcome of the trial.

The petition stands allowed.

26.10.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No