

CRM-M-35229-2016

Date of Decision : 17.11.2016

Amritpal Nangal and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Angel Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

As per the instructions of ASI Surjit Singh, learned State counsel informs that the petitioners have joined investigation.

Mr. Angel Sharma, Advocate for the complainant has vehemently opposed the application for pre-arrest bail contending that people of the petitioners are seen in photographs making an attempt to take forcible possession of the shop regarding which civil litigation is pending.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, it is sufficient to observe that the complainant is still in possession of the shop and there is a civil litigation pending regarding the vacation of said shop. It does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation. The dispute appears to be between landlord and tenant.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of petitioners they shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the

arresting officer subject to the condition that petitioners will join investigation as and when required and that they will not commit the similar offence of which they are accused of, during the pendency of the trial.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

17.11.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No