

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-35221 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.10.2016

Mubina

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 458 dated 22.10.2014 registered at Police Station Punhana, Distt. Mewat under Sections 302, 376 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complainant had not named the petitioner and the police wants to arrest the petitioner as she has been named by a co-accused. It was urged that the police has given a clean chit to Samsu and he is not wanted by the police and the complainant still supports the petitioner and had filed a petition before this Court and was seeking entrustment of the investigation to some other agency and the matter is pending in the High court.

The State counsel submits that the petitioner and her son and Jahuri are wanted in this case and Jahuri has been arrested and he has suffered a disclosure. It was urged that the deceased namely Ruksina was having an affair with Samsu, husband of the petitioner and this fact came to the notice of the petitioner and she along with her son and their driver Jahuri had committed the crime and the girl was raped and thereafter

strangulated and custodial interrogation is necessary.

The allegations against the petitioner are serious. The petitioner has been named by co-accused, custodial interrogation would be necessary.

No case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Petition is dismissed.

October 17, 2016

M.Negi

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No