

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Revision 1059 of 2008

Date of Decision : December 13, 2016

Nafisa

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The order dated 3.4.2008 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, while summoning the petitioner under Section 319 Cr.P.C. stood challenged by her in the present revision.

Vide impugned order, the trial Court summoned the petitioner as additional accused for facing trial alongwith seven others, who already stood challaned for the offences under Sections 148, 149, 307, 324, 326, 506 and 452 IPC.

The revision was admitted way back on 4.6.2008. While passing the said order, this Court had directed that the proceedings against the petitioner before the trial Court would go on. However, her personal appearance would remain exempted, in case, she gave an undertaking before the trial Court to appear on any particular date, for which, her presence would

be essential.

On 26.9.20016, this Court had sought report from the trial Court regarding status of the proceedings, which were pending against the petitioner and her co-accused. On the adjourned date, reminder was sent to the trial Court to submit the status report as the same had not been received uptil then. As per the report, now received from the trial Court and duly forwarded by the District and Sessions Judge, Faridabad, the trial of the case is already over and accused stood acquitted.

In view of the above, the present revision has been rendered infructuous and, is accordingly, disposed of.

December 13, 2016
amit rana

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No