

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34261-2015

Date of Decision: February 11, 2016

Manjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.
Mr. Akash Sethi, Advocate, for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the pronouncement of the judgment by learned Trial Court, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the respondents have not controverted the above said fact.

Ordered accordingly.

February 11, 2016
apurva

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE