

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3507-2016

Date of Decision: 29.02.2016

KAMAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr.Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Doon, AAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr. P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 1023 dated 29.12.2015 under Section 223, 224, 7/13-49-88 of the P.C. Act, registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind.

In brief FIR was registered on the application moved by S.H.O., Police Station Sadar, Jind, against S.I. Rishi Raj as well as Sujan Singh who was an accused in another case on the allegations that Sujan Singh has escaped from police custody on account of negligence of SI Rishi Raj.

The present petitioner is sought to be implicated on the disclosure statement of co-accused S.I. Rishi Raj who has stated that he has been paid sum of Rs. 1.00 Lakh by the present petitioner to facilitate the escape of Sujan Singh, accused in another matter.

Petitioner has been in custody since 30.12.2015. Investigation in the case is complete and even the challan has been presented on 25.02.2016. The charges till date have not been framed and the trial as such would take time to conclude.

Admissibility of disclosure statement of the co-accused in evidence would be an issue to be considered by the trial Court.

Without making any observation on merits, petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Jind.

[TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA]
JUDGE

February 29, 2016
Suresh Kumar