

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(210)

CRM-M-35023-2016

Decided on: November 07, 2016.

Rajbalbir Singh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

On the instructions of ASI Lakhwinder Singh, it has been informed that petitioner No.2, Kuldeep Singh, has been found innocent.

With the assistance of learned State counsel, I have gone through the MLR of complainant Sukhdev Singh.

I have gone through injuries No. 3, 4 and 5 on the person of Sukhdev Singh and the medical opinion obtained regarding the said injuries. Injuries No. 3, 4 and 5 are on the left hand of Sukhdev Singh. The admission of Sukhdev Singh in hospital from 07.05.2016 to 23.05.2016 appears to be unreasonable if seen in context to the treatment noticed in the medical record.

The role of petitioners and nature of the injuries attributed to petitioner No.1, will certainly be a debatable issue during course of trial. It does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation.

In view of said circumstances, the petitioners can be granted the concession of pre-arrest bail in the cross-version case.

The petition is allowed. Interim order dated 30.09.2016 is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions that they will join investigation as and when required and will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner and will also not commit similar offence of which they are accused of, during pendency of the trial.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

November 07, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No