

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35020 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.12.2016

Surjit Thakur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Harkeerat Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.153 dated 10.10.2015, registered under Sections 307, 324, 341, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station PAU Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

It is contended that the petitioner has been married to the daughter of the complainant and the matrimonial dispute between them is going on. The petitioner did not inflict any injury on the abdomen of injured. Initially, the FIR was registered under Sections 324, 341, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC. Subsequently, Section 307 IPC had been introduced. Further, there is an unexplained delay of more

than 05 days in lodging the present FIR. The petitioner is in custody since 16.11.2015.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact the petitioner is in custody since 16.11.2015; the challan stands presented; out of total 24 PWs, only 02 PWs have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

01.12.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No