

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35018 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision:25.10.2016

RANJIT DAGAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

CRM No.30945 of 2016

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CRM-33094 of 2016

This is an application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record the deposition of witnesses No. 4 and 5 as Annexure A-1 and A-2.

The documents are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRM-M-35018 of 2016

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No. 352 dated 01.12.2014, under Section 307 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station, Rajindera Park, District Gurgaon.

Heard the submissions made by Mr. Anil Kumar Malik,

Advocate representing the petitioner and Mr. Karan Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana. representing the State.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is custody since 24.07.2015. Statements of the injured as well as of the eye witnesses have already been recorded by learned trial Court and none of them have identified the petitioner as the assailant who had fired the shot.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner. According to which two other cases one bearing First Information Report No.127 of 2015, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Rajendera Park, Gurgaon and the other bearing First Information Report No.779 of 2013 registered at police Station City, Gurgaon are stated to be pending against the petitioner but in both cases the petitioner is already on bail.

Trial will still take some time to complete. Considering the facts and circumstances and without going into the merits of the case and also finding no plausible ground to detain the petitioner further in custody, he is enlarged on regular bail during pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Gurgaon.

(SNEH PRASHAR)

October 25, 2016
rashmi

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned? Yes

Whether Reportable? No