

CRM-M-35005 of 2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 116

CRM No.M-35005 of 2016

Date of decision: September 29, 2016

Nishan Singh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they are feeling danger at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 and their companions/relatives as well as sought direction to respondent No.2 & 3 not to register any criminal case against them arising out of their marriage.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that only claim protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 & 5 and relinquishes the other reliefs prayed for in the petition.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, only at this stage.

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be

CRM-M-35005 of 2016

[2]

supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

5. As per the version unfolded by the petitioners, they are major in age and have solemnized marriage on September 27, 2016. In support thereof, they have placed on record marriage certificate Annexure P-3 and photographs of marriage Annexure P-4.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of, with the direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur (Punjab) to look into the grievances narrated in their representation dated September 27, 2016 (Annexure P-5) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

7. This order shall not be construed to be conferring the legitimacy or authenticity to the factum of marriage having been performed as well as their age, as the Court is clearly deprived of any means to determine the aforesaid facts.

September 29, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No