

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34157-2014

Date of Decision: February 08, 2016

Kulbir Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Ms.Loveleen Dhaliwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioners submits that he has the instructions to state at Bar that learned trial Court has decided the case and, as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, Police Station, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur, also admits the fact that the case has been decided by learned trial Court.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has no objection to the withdrawal of the present petition.

So ordered.

February 08, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE