

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34079-2015

Date of Decision: January 18, 2016

Karan Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Ranjivan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.P.S.Paul, DAG, Punjab.
Mr.Mohinder Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are in unison that learned trial Court after finding a prima facie case had framed the charges. They are also in unison that the application for discharge moved by the petitioners was also dismissed.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the petition to enable the petitioners to approach the Court of Session by way of revision

petition at the first instance impugning the order dismissing the application for discharge of the petitioners, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that if the above said criminal revision petition along with an application for condonation of delay is presented before the Court of Session within one month of passing of this order, the said application for condonation of delay shall be decided in accordance with law, keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have approached this Court.

January 18, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE