

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34937-2016

Date of decision:20.10.2016

Kishan Lal @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

Present: Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (Oral)

The petitioner is alleged to have committed a theft in the house of the complainant, namely Puro, as in her absence, the gate of the house was found open and lock of the room was broken, while her gold earrings, gold chain and ring along with cash of Rs. 4,000/- was found missing.

The application for bail filed by the petitioner has, *inter alia*, been opposed by learned State counsel on the ground that the recoveries are yet to be effected.

Since the case of the prosecution rests upon a strong suspicion against the petitioner, but he having joined the investigation,

his custodial interrogation does not appear to be necessary, expecting that he would make a statement before the police that he has actually committed the theft.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed. The interim order dated 29.09.2016, is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that he will not, in any manner, tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation.

October 20, 2016
apurva

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No