

**CRM-M-34872-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34872-2016**

**Date of Decision: 04.10.2016**

Sukhdeep Singh alias Sandeep Singh alias Sukha

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.68 dated 07.05.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, under Section 365/34 IPC and Section 364-A IPC (added later on).

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that a compromise has already been taken place between the parties.

As per the allegations in the FIR, on receiving a phone call for repairing a fan at the backside of dispensary, complainant went there. Two boys met him and took him out of the house where one Alto car, black colour, which was being driven by a person wearing police uniform, came.

**CRM-M-34872-2016**

The complainant was forcibly made to sit in the car. The motor-cycle of complainant was brought by their third accomplice behind the car. The mobile phone and wallet belonging to the complainant were snatched. Thereafter, a call was made to the friend of complainant from his mobile and demand of ransom of ₹35,000/- was made. However, the friend of the complainant arranged ₹30,000/- and the same were given to the assailants and thereafter the complainant was released.

The offences are non-compoundable in nature. Otherwise also, the factum of compromise effected between the parties, as argued by learned counsel for the petitioner, shows that the petitioner is tampering with the evidence.

Keeping in view the above facts and in view of the fact that there are serious allegations of ransom and kidnapping, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

**04.10.2016**

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

|              |                           |   |     |
|--------------|---------------------------|---|-----|
| <b>Note:</b> | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes |
|              | Whether reportable        | : | No  |