

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.256

CRM No.M-3399 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 04, 2016

Virender Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that both petitioners are taken away by the nature.

In such circumstances, the proceedings, if any, pending against the petitioners would stand automatically abated.

In the given circumstances, the instant petition has rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of.

February 04, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**