

276

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34866 of 2016

Date of decision: December 13, 2016

Gurpreet Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Pal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2, 3 & 5.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.100 dated 23.09.2013, under Sections 452, 440, 427, 323, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Naya Gaon, District Mohali petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondents No.2, 3 & 5 jointly submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court vide order dated 17.10.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Kharar stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is without any pressure or undue influence and with their

free will.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.100 dated 23.09.2013, under Sections 452, 440, 427, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Naya Gaon alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 13, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No