

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34854 of 2016
Date of Decision: 07.11.2016

Maneet Singh Gadhok

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Ms.Aarti Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms.Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.I.S.Parmar, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.107 dated 19.10.2013, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell Ludhiana, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 29.04.2016 (Annexure P-6).

The petitioner is a permanent resident of Canada and the his marriage was solemnized with respondent No.2 on 19.01.2013. On account of some misunderstanding and temperamental differences, the FIR was got registered with the allegations that petitioner and his family members were harassing the complainant/respondent No.2 on account of demand of dowry.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioner and respondent No.2-Sandeep Kaur Chandok alias Sandeep Kaur Gadhok, vide compromise deed dated 29.04.2016 (Annexure

In terms of the compromise, it has been agreed between the parties that petitioner-Manmeet Singh through his GPA Mukhtiar Singh would pay an amount amount of Rs.50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lacs only) to the wife-Sandeep Kaur-respondent No.2, in lieu of dowry articles as well as permanent alimony and maintenance and no further amount shall be claimed by the complainant/respondent No.2 on any account i.e. dowry articles, permanent alimony and maintenance (past as well as future).

On issuing notice, counsel for complainant/respondent No.2 has placed on record affidavit of Sandeep Kaur-complainant/respondent No.2 to the effect that she has settled the dispute with the petitioner and she would abide by the terms and condition of the compromise and she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

Sandeep Kaur-complainant/respondent No.2 is present in Court who has been identified by ASI Sukhvinder Singh. Learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over two cheques in the name of Sandeep Kaur-complainant/respondent No.2 for an amount of Rs.9,70,000/- and Rs.30,000/- (Total Rs.10,00,000/-) which was to be paid to Sandeep Kaur-complainant/respondent No.2 at the time of quashing of FIR, as per terms of the compromise.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Sections 406, 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell Ludhiana,
is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua
the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

07.11.2016
anil