

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-34853 OF 2016 (O&M)**  
**DATE OF DECISION :28<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER, 2016**

**Pappu Ram @ Jaskaran & others**

**.... Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab & others**

**.... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI**

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. J. S. Moudgill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A. S. Dhindsa, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

\* \* \* \*

**A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.34 dated 27.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 353, 186, 341, 323 & 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Mansa, District Mansa, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 29.09.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court in CRM-M-34749-2016. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the

offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

**ORDER**

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-34853 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.34 dated 27.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 353, 186, 341, 323 & 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Mansa, District Mansa, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

**28<sup>TH</sup> NOVEMBER, 2016**  
'raj'

**(A. B. CHAUDHARI)**  
**JUDGE**