

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-33932 of 2015

Date of decision: January 18, 2016.

Abhishek Kumar Akela

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Manvendra Mukul, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.158 dated 13.10.2014 registered under Sections 66-A/67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000 at PS Division No.4, Ludhiana.

The report of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana has been received. Learned Magistrate, after recording the statements of the parties, has reported that the complainant and accused have effected compromise which is without any pressure. The statements of both the parties have also been perused. It comes out that it is a dispute between the husband and wife. The wife had levelled allegations of demand of dowry and at the same

time also alleged that her e-mail and facebook accounts had been deleted by the petitioner.

Since it was a matrimonial dispute and both the parties have amicably settled the same, therefore FIR No.158 dated 13.10.2014 registered under Sections 66-A/67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000 at PS Division No.4, Ludhiana, along with consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition stands allowed.

January 18, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge