

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3481 of 2016.
Decided on:-02.02.2016.

Rakesh Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Petitioner Rakesh Kumar son of Dalip Chand resident of House No.3130, Sector 44-D, Chandigarh has filed the present petition seeking quashing of FIR No.326 dated 22.6.2006 under Sections 408, 420, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner remained posted in Syndicate Bank at R.K. Puram Branch, New Delhi from 28.6.2001 to 9.9.2003 and the instant FIR was registered on 22.6.2006. The petitioner while working as Assistant Manager has cleared one cheque for an amount of Rs.1,83,231/- out of total 47 cheques.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perusal of the the documents so attached with the petition reveals that in the case in hand, the police has conducted the investigation and the report under Section 173 Cr.PC has been presented in the Court. There is allegation against the petitioner in the FIR. The charge has been framed against the petitioner on 1.8.2015. There is no material to suggest that the petitioner has filed any revision petition against the order of framing of charge by the trial Court. The trial is already in progress and it is only during the trial, it can be proved whether he is innocent or not. As such, it would not be appropriate to interfere with the proceedings pending before the trial Court at this stage.

In view of the above, no interference in the matter is warranted at this stage and the petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

However, the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and no prejudice should be caused to the petitioner merely because he has approached this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

February 02, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE