

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M 33957 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.06.2016

Amit Kumar Sharma

.....Petitioner

versus

Patram and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: None for the petitioner
Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for the respondent No.1
Ms. Supriya Arora, Asstt. A.G. Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Respondent Patram has filed a complaint under Section 4 of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. Vide order dated 4.4.2014, the present petitioner was summoned under Section 166 IPC by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul. The said order was challenged before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, who vide order dated 27.8.2014 dismissed the revision holding that there is no patent illegality or material irregularity in the impugned order. The matter has already been examined by the revisional Court. Therefore, while exercising the powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C., this Court does not find any patent illegality, infirmity or material irregularity in the impugned orders.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

01.06.2016

gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**