

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-33886 of 2015
DECIDED ON : APRIL 26, 2016**

SATPAL

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present : Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Padmakant Dwivedi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 4 dated January 03, 2015 under Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2 Report of learned trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that parties have compromised the matter voluntarily, out

of their free will and without any pressure or coercion. It has further been mentioned in the report that the same is subject to payment as per compromise deed Ex.C-1. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another***; 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and ***Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another (2014) 6 SCC 466***, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No. 4 dated January 03, 2015 under Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

APRIL 26, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE