

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-33879 of 2015
Date of decision: March 17, 2016.

Narender and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and anotehr

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Supriya Arora, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.221 dated 12.6.2014, registered under Sections 387/506/34 IPC, PS Saran, District Faridabad, along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Report from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad received. The learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant and both the accused and has reported that the parties have compromised.

Perusal of the FIR shows that accused Narender made a ransom call to the complainant demanding Rs.40,000/- as extortion. It was also threatened that if the money was not paid, the complainant will be killed. When the complainant expressed his inability to pay such a hefty amount, the accused scaled down the demand to Rs.7,000/-, for which accused Sunil was sent to collect the money.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, this

Court does not deem it a fit case for exercising inherent powers under
Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the impugned FIR.

Dismissed.

March 17, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge