

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-34737 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.10.2016

Pankaj @ Ponkarmal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Amrit Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 156 dated 01.07.2016, registered under Sections 436, 457 and 427 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.

It is contended that one of the complainant Ruli Ram and the only eye witness, Vipin have not supported the prosecution version. The petitioners have been falsely implicated on the basis of suspicion alone. The petitioner No.1 is in custody since 01.07.2016 and petitioner No.2 is in custody since 16.07.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the star witnesses have not supported the case of the prosecution and out of 12 PWs, only 01 PW has been examined so far, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No