

CRM-M-34669-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34669-2016 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 08.11.2016

Sukhdev Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. N.S.Diwana, Advocate for
Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

CRM-30573-2016

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-34669-2016

Petitioners, Sukhdev Singh, Harjeet Singh, Manjeet Kaur and Charanjeet Kaur, have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.110 dated 16.05.2016, registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, under Sections 380 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

CRM-M-34669-2016

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that main allegations against the accused are that they had stolen the goods placed in the kitchen of the complainant after breaking the lock. Nothing specific has been mentioned as to what type of articles were stolen. It looks to be a family dispute and the house is ancestral one.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 28.09.2016, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are now not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from them. No useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 28.09.2016 passed by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

08.11.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No