

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33776 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 1st March, 2016

Kanta and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 & 3.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 05.01.2016 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kalka has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Kulwant Singh, Azad Singh and the accused persons namely Kanta, Sonu, Joginder Singh and Ram Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure property dispute the fact that parties belong to the same very area and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.38 dated 18.03.2010 registered at Police Station Kalka, District Panchkula under Sections 406/420/468/471/120-B IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 1, 2016

rps