

Vinod KumarPetitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

Present: Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Perusal of the police record indicates that it is not a case of custodial interrogation.

The petition is allowed. Interim order dated 28.09.2016 is hereby made absolute subject to all the conditions under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

07.12.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No