

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-34621 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.11.2016

Bedpal @ Bedu

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.27 dated 25.2.2016 under Sections 147, 148, 149, 285, 395, 427, 436 IPC registered at Police Station Bond Kalan, District Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is in custody since 10.5.2016. He further submitted that though the petitioner has been named in two more cases of similar nature, however, this Court, vide order dated 10.11.2016 passed in CRM-M-38791-2016 *Bedpal @ Bedu v. State of Haryana* (in FIR No.22 dated 23.2.2016 under Sections 147, 149, 427 and

436 IPC Police Station Baund Kalan, District Bhiwani) has released him on bail. He submits that challan in the present case has been filed and prosecution evidence is yet to start and since trial will take long time, the petitioner be released on regular bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Balbir Singh, does not dispute the aforesaid facts. However, he submits that since the petitioner has been involved in two more cases of same nature, he is not entitled to be released on bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 10.5.2016 and has been admitted on bail by a coordinate Bench this Court in CRM-M-38791-2016. Taking into consideration the fact that the trial in the case will take sufficiently long time, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

It is made clear that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

November 21, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No