

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM-M-34617 of 2016.  
Decided on:-09.12.2016.

Harjit Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

(2) CRM-M-41171 of 2016.

Kabal Singh and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.***

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-34617 of 2016.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioners in CRM-M-41171 of 2016.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kunal Siag, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

This order shall dispose of the aforementioned two petitions  
filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the

petitioners as the same have arisen from a common case bearing FIR No.99 dated 14.7.2016 under Sections 342, 347, 348, 384, 506, 120-B, 148 and 149 IPC as well as Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station C-Division, Amritsar.

However, for convenience and clarity, the detailed order is being passed in ***CRM-M-34617 of 2016*** titled as ***Harjit Kaur Versus State of Punjab.***

The aforesaid FIR was registered on the basis of complaint made by Gurmander Singh, Tehsildar. As per the complaint, the allegations against the accused are that on 02.07.2016, at about 10.00 A.M, petitioner-accused Harjit Kaur called him and told that her husband, who is serving in Indian Army and posted at Sri Nagar, has come at Amritsar and he has to go back. They wanted to show stamp paper to the complainant for the purpose of attestation of mutation. Though the complainant had stated that on 02.07.2016, there was a holiday, but accused requested him to come at her house. At about 12:30 P.M., when the complainant had gone to the house of petitioner-accused Harjit Kaur for verifying the papers, 3-4 persons were already present in her house. After some time, 2 more persons came there. They all had scuffled with the complainant and misbehaved with him.

It has further been alleged in the complaint that one person, whose name later on came to be known to the complainant as Jaimal Singh, had pointed a pistol upon him and the other person, whose name also later on came to know as Sawinder Singh @ Shida Bhalwan took out pistol from

his dub and snatched the mobile phone and cheque book from the complainant. The complainant was detained for about 2 hours. The other accused also joined the petitioners and they made the complainant to put signatures on blank stamp papers. Thus, the complainant was forcibly asked by the petitioners to write that he had forcibly held the hand of petitioner-accused Harjit Kaur, who is wife of Nidhan Singh.

The allegations levelled against the petitioners are serious in nature and as such, they do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. Thus, this Court does not find any merit in both the petitions and the same are, accordingly, dismissed.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

**December 09, 2016**  
Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No