

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-34589 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.10.2016

Jagjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. HPS Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R. K. Arya, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 92 dated 29.06.2016, registered under Section 306 IPC, at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural.

It is contended that the FIR has been registered on the ground that the petitioner who is real brother of the deceased had dispute with him. He refers to the compromise to contend that the matter with regard to the property in question had already been settled a day prior to the death in question. The petitioner is in custody since 01.07.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail

application and states that the FSL and hand writing expert reports are still awaited.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the challan stands presented; the petitioner is in custody since 01.07.2016, the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.10.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No