

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(202)

CRM-M-33683-2015

Decided on: January 13, 2016.

Sunil

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

No doubt, the complainant has leveled serious allegations against the petitioner but the petitioner having been found innocent by the police, was not challaned. On the basis of statement of complainant Naveen @ Badshah recorded in the Court, the petitioner has been summoned as an accused.

Pursuant to the interim order, the petitioner has already put in appearance before the trial Court.

On the instructions of SI Randhir Singh, learned State counsel informs that as per the investigation, the petitioner was found to be innocent as such his name was kept in column No.2.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case.

Without expression of any opinion on merits of the case, it is sufficient to observe here that the petitioner was found innocent by the investigating agency but the complainant insisted the involvement of the

petitioner in the crime. The petitioner has not misused his liberty as he has neither tampered with the evidence nor hampered the appearance of PWs in the Court. A dual version qua petitioner having already been cropped up, the petitioner having surrendered before the Court pursuant to the interim order, he can be granted concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner will remain on bail against the bail bonds already furnished by him before the trial Court subject to the condition that he will not absent himself without any sufficient cause and will not take any step to hamper or delay trial pending before the trial Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 13, 2016
harsha