

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34499 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.11.2016

Sukhchain Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. D.S. Malwai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.35 dated 19.05.2016, registered under Sections 307 and 341 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Rureke Kalan, District Barnala.

It is contended that all the injuries are simple in nature. As per report of doctor, no bony injury was found on the person of the injured. The petitioner is in custody since 12.07.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the challan stands presented; the charges have been framed; out of total 15 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No