

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO-3453-2004

Date of Decision:10.11.2016

CHAMELI AND ANOTHER

...Appellants

VERSUS

MANOHAR SINGH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jimmy Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

DARSHAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Notice to respondent No.1 could not be issued as the learned counsel for the appellants has not supplied the correct address in spite of the fact that the appellants were burdened with costs and today was the last opportunity for the service of respondent No.1, on filing the correct address.

It seems that the appellants are not interested to prosecute the present appeal.

So, the present appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution. However, the appellants shall be at liberty to move the application for restoration, which should be accompanied with the correct address of respondent No.1 in the shape of the affidavit of the appellants.

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

November 10, 2016

Rajneesh