

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34482 of 2016

Date of decision: October 26, 2016

Rahis and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the
FIR.

This Court had made an order dated 27.09.2016 to enable
the State to find out the pendency of the other similar offences, namely
tying the cows of their mouth and legs with the mean to smuggle them
for slaughtering.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from police
official, fairly states that there is no other case pending against the
petitioner. Therefore, this offence appears to be the first offence on
the part of the petitioner.

In that view of the matter, I think the petitioner should be
granted the anticipatory bail. However, learned counsel for the
petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, makes a statement that
the petitioner will file an undertaking with the Superintendent of

Police concerned that he would not indulge in the business which amounts to section 3 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 nor would indulgence in the business of slaughtering of cows contrary to the said law.

In that view of the matter, in the event of arrest, petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned. Petitioners shall also file an undertaking with the Superintendent of Police concerned within 15 days from today as abovesaid as a pre-condition to the present order granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 26, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No