

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34382-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 05.12.2016

Manish Sheoran

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.1139 dated 03.09.2016, under Sections 376, 417 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner and respondent No. 2 were known to each other and wanted to marry each other but the parents of both sides were opposing the said marriage as both belong to different caste. Thereafter, they decided to elope together and marry each other. But parents of respondent No. 2 came to know about the same and pressurized respondent No. 2 to lodge the present F.I.R No. 1139 dated 03.09.2016 under Sections 376/417/506 IPC. But now parents of both the sides agreed and they performed marriage and are seeking quashing of F.I.R.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court, was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise in question, by way of order dated 30.09.2016, by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 30.09.2016 of this Court, the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat, dated 17.10.2016 has been received. As per the report, the statements of all the concerned parties have been recorded and the complainant has no objection, if the present FIR registered against the petitioner is quashed. Statements of the petitioner, his parents and respondent No.2 and her parents, have been recorded to the same effect.

Consequently, in view of the above-said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and another, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910; Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429** and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.1139 dated 03.09.2016, under Sections 376, 417 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

The present petition stands disposed of.

December 05, 2016
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No