

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-34369 of 2016
DECIDED ON : DECEMBER 02, 2016

GURMEET SINGH & OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 60, dated 30.09.2015, under Sections 342, 323, 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2 Report of learned trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary. The same appears to be genuine. Even otherwise, the matter has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another*; 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and *Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another (2014) 6 SCC 466*, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No. 60, dated 30.09.2015, under Sections 342, 323, 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

DECEMBER 02, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*