

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-34355 of 2016
Date of decision : 02.12.2016**

Rohit VermaPetitioner

versus

State of Punjab and anr. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Pratap S. Pathania, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. A.P.S Gill, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Amit Babbar, Advocate for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 101 dated 12.09.2014 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sujanpur, District Gurdaspur is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 11.05.2016 (P-5)

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 07.12.2013. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. No child was born out of this wedlock. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioner.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 11.05.2016 (P-5).

In compliance of order dated 26.09.2016, report dated 28.11.2016 of Chief Judl. Magistrate, Pathankot, has been received in this

regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. The complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statement given by the petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 28.11.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 101 dated 12.09.2014 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sujampur, District Gurdaspur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

02.12.2016
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>